

A harmonized, histology-based protocol for selection of medial temporal lobe cortical subregion ranges on magnetic resonance imaging

Jenna N. Adams¹ | Hannah Baumeister² | Thanh Doan³ | Anne Maass² |
Negar Mazloum-Farzaghi^{4,5} | Tammy T Tran⁶ | Anika Wuestefeld⁷ |
Jean Augustinack⁸ | Song-Lin Ding⁹ | Ricardo Insausti¹⁰ | Olga Kedo¹¹ |
Arnold Bakker¹² | David Berron² | Kelsey L. Canada¹³ | Valerie A Carr¹⁴ |
Marshall Axel Dalton¹⁵ | Ana M. Daugherty¹⁶ | Robin de Flores¹⁷ | Renaud La Joie¹⁸ |
Susanne G Mueller^{19,20} | Rosanna K Olsen^{4,21} | Craig EL Stark²² | Lei Wang²³ |
Laura E.M. Wisse²⁴ | Paul A. Yushkevich²⁵

¹University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA

²German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Magdeburg, Germany

³Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

⁴University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

⁵Rotman Research Institute, North York, ON, Canada

⁶Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

⁷Clinical Memory Research Unit, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

⁸Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Charlestown, MA, USA

⁹Allen Institute for Brain Science, Seattle, WA, USA

¹⁰University of Castilla-La Mancha, Albacete, Spain

¹¹Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany

¹²Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Science, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

¹³Institute of Gerontology, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, USA

¹⁴San Jose State University, San Jose, CA, USA

¹⁵The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

¹⁶Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, USA

¹⁷Normandie Univ, UNICAEN, INSERM, U1237, PhIND "Physiopathology and Imaging of Neurological Disorders", NeuroPresage Team, GIP Cyceron, Caen, France

¹⁸Memory and Aging Center, Weill Institute for Neurosciences, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

¹⁹Department of Radiology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

²⁰Center for Imaging of Neurodegenerative Diseases, San Francisco, CA, USA

²¹Rotman Research Institute, Toronto, ON, Canada

²²Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA

²³Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Columbus, OH, USA

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2024 The Alzheimer's Association. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of Alzheimer's Association.

²⁴Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Lund University, Lund, Lund, Sweden

²⁵University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Correspondence

Jenna N. Adams, University of California,
Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA.

Email: jnadams@uci.edu

Abstract

Background: The medial temporal lobe (MTL) has distinct cortical subregions that are differentially vulnerable to pathology and neurodegeneration in diseases such as Alzheimer's disease. However, previous protocols for segmentation of MTL cortical subregions on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) vary substantially across research groups, and have been informed by different cytoarchitectonic definitions, precluding consistent interpretations. The Hippocampal Subfields Group aims to create a harmonized, histology-based protocol for segmentation of MTL cortical subregions that can reliably be applied to T2-weighted MRI with high in-plane resolution.

Method: Nissl-stained sections from the temporal lobes of three human specimens (66–90 years old; 2 female) were annotated by four expert neuroanatomists for the following MTL subregions: entorhinal cortex (ERC), Brodmann's Area 35 (BA35; largely corresponding to "transentorhinal" cortex), Brodmann's Area 36 (BA36), and parahippocampal cortex (PHC). On each histology section, the number of annotations and the spatial overlap of annotations were analyzed to determine the consensus of the anterior to posterior range of each structure. Gross anatomical landmarks, detectable on MRI and reliably corresponding with each range, were then selected to create an MRI ranging protocol. Feasibility of this MRI protocol was tested by two independent raters across four MRI scans (two healthy adults, two older adults), and agreement in range selection was assessed using Cohen's kappa statistic.

Result: The proposed MTL ranging protocol is shown in **Fig. 1**, and corresponding histology data substantiating the protocol is shown in **Fig. 2**. MRI-visible gross anatomical landmarks that reliably corresponded with the anterior or posterior range of each subregion on histology included the anterior-most appearance of the collateral sulcus (**Fig. 3A**), hippocampal head (**Fig. 3B**), hippocampal body, and anterior calcarine fissure (**Fig. 3C**). This protocol demonstrated high feasibility when applied to MRI, with average kappa values of 0.75 ± 0.07 , representing a "substantial" level of agreement of range selection.

Conclusion: Future directions include obtaining consensus on this protocol from the larger research community through a Delphi procedure, and expansion of the protocol to include slice-by-slice segmentation guidelines for full delineation. This harmonized, histology-based protocol will facilitate critical research on MTL subregion vulnerability and their contributions to memory deficits in Alzheimer's disease.




Figure 2. Histology data supporting the medial temporal lobe cortex ranging protocol. Images depict nissl-stained histology sections acquired for one specimen. Slices were annotated every 5 mm starting from the temporal pole (0mm). Darker colors indicate more overlap of annotations by neuroanatomists. Dotted corners indicate anterior or posterior range applied to histology. **A** Anterior ranges of entorhinal cortex (ERC; red), Brodmann's Area 35 (BA35; yellow), and Brodmann's Area 36 (BA36; maroon), with corresponding MRI-visible landmarks of collateral sulcus (cs) and the hippocampal head (HH). **B** Transition zone at the appearance of the hippocampal body (HB), corresponding to the posterior range of ERC, BA35, and BA36, and anterior range of the parahippocampal cortex (PHC; purple). **C** Posterior range of the PHC, with the corresponding landmark of the anterior calcarine fissure (acf).




Figure 3. Key MRI-visible anatomical landmarks for the ranging protocol. The proposed protocol uses anatomical landmarks visible on MRI that are informed by histology to guide the anterior and posterior ranges of each medial temporal lobe (MTL) subregion. Key landmarks include (A) collateral sulcus (cs), which informs the anterior range of Brodmann's Area 36 (BA36); (B) hippocampal head (HH), which informs the anterior range of entorhinal cortex (ERC); hippocampal body (unpictured), which informs the posterior range of ERC, BA36, and Brodmann's Area 35 (BA35), and the anterior range of parahippocampal cortex (PHC); (C) anterior calcarine fissure (acf), which informs the posterior range PHC. All images shown are T2-weighted images with high in plane resolution (~0.4mm x 0.4mm). The top panels show the unmarked landmark image, while the bottom panel shows the defining features to guide landmark slice selection. L, lateral; M, medial.