

# Multimodal phenotyping of successful cognitive aging

Niklas Behrenbruch<sup>1,2</sup> | Eóin N. Molloy<sup>2,3</sup> | Svenja Schwarck<sup>1,2</sup> |  
 Beate Schumann-Werner<sup>1,2</sup> | Berta Garcia-Garcia<sup>2,4</sup> | Niklas Vockert<sup>2</sup> |  
 Barbara Morgado<sup>5</sup> | Michael Rullmann<sup>6</sup> | Anne Hochkeppler<sup>2</sup> | Larissa Fischer<sup>2</sup> |  
 Kathrin Baldauf<sup>2</sup> | Peter Schulze<sup>2</sup> | Patrick Müller<sup>2,7</sup> | Andrew W. Stephens<sup>8</sup> |  
 Marianne Patt<sup>9</sup> | Andreas Schildan<sup>6</sup> | Gusaliya Behnisch<sup>10</sup> |  
 Constanze I. Seidenbecher<sup>10</sup> | Björn H. Schott<sup>11,12</sup> | Hermann Esselmann<sup>13</sup> |  
 Jens Wiltfang<sup>11,14,15</sup> | Henryk Barthel<sup>6</sup> | Osama Sabri<sup>6</sup> | Michael C. Kreißl<sup>2,3</sup> |  
 Emrah Düzel<sup>1,2</sup> | Anne Maass<sup>2,16</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Cognitive Neurology and Dementia Research (IKND), Otto-von-Guericke University, Magdeburg, Germany

<sup>2</sup>German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Magdeburg, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Division of Nuclear Medicine, Department of Radiology & Nuclear Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Otto von Guericke University, Magdeburg, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Division of Nuclear Medicine, Department of Radiology & Nuclear Medicine, Magdeburg, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Department for Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medical Center Göttingen (UMG), Göttingen, Germany

<sup>6</sup>Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

<sup>7</sup>University Hospital Magdeburg, Magdeburg, Germany

<sup>8</sup>Life Molecular Imaging GmbH, Berlin, Germany

<sup>9</sup>Clinic for Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Augsburg, Augsburg, Germany

<sup>10</sup>Leibniz Institute for Neurobiology (LIN), Magdeburg, Germany

<sup>11</sup>German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Goettingen, Germany

## Abstract

**Background:** While some memory decline in old age is “normal”, there are some older individuals with maintained high cognitive performance. Using a multimodal approach including neuroimaging, fitness, genetic and questionnaire data (Figure 1A), we aimed to identify factors that are related to successful cognitive aging and whether these differ between sexes.

**Method:** We analyzed 165 cognitively normal older adults age  $\geq 60$  years from an ongoing study (SFB1436) (age=71 $\pm$ 8years, 43% female). For all participants, we determined plasma A $\beta_{1-42}$ /A $\beta_{1-40}$ . Temporal lobe tau burden was estimated by [<sup>18</sup>F]PI-2620 in a subsample (see Figure 1A for sample sizes). We assessed global white matter hyperintensity (WMH) volumes and gray matter thickness for medial temporal lobe (MTL), anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and whole brain. We measured aerobic and muscular capacity (and blood pressure) by fitness assessment and trait/state anxiety by self-reports. Genetic profiling included KLOTHO and KIBRA polymorphisms and APOE genotype. To phenotype successful cognitive aging, we i) grouped individuals age  $\geq 79.5$  years into SuperAgers (N=18) based on delayed verbal recall performance  $\geq$  normative values at age of 50-60 years versus typical agers (N=19). For the whole sample we ii) calculated cognitive age gap (CAG) as the difference between cognition-predicted age and chronological age (Figure 3A). We assessed how markers of pathology, brain structure, fitness, mental health and genetics were related to CAG, covarying for chronological age, sex and education.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2024 The Alzheimer's Association. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of Alzheimer's Association.

<sup>12</sup>Leibniz Institute for Neurobiology,  
Magdeburg, Germany

<sup>13</sup>University Medical Center Goettingen  
(UMG), Goettingen, Germany

<sup>14</sup>Department of Psychiatry and  
Psychotherapy, University Medical Center,  
University of Goettingen, Goettingen,  
Germany

<sup>15</sup>Neurosciences and Signaling Group,  
Institute of Biomedicine (iBiMED),  
Department of Medical Sciences, University of  
Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal

<sup>16</sup>Otto von Guericke University, Magdeburg,  
Germany

#### Correspondence


Niklas Behrenbruch, Institute of Cognitive  
Neurology and Dementia Research (IKND),  
Otto-von-Guericke University, Magdeburg,  
Germany.

Email: [niklas.behrenbruch@dzne.de](mailto:niklas.behrenbruch@dzne.de)

**Result:** SuperAgers and typical agers did not differ in age, sex, education, fitness, anxiety or Abeta42/40 (all p-values>0.1). However, SuperAgers had less WMH volume, higher ACC thickness, lower blood pressure and less temporal lobe tau-tracer binding (small subgroup; ). In the whole sample, younger cognitive age related to higher MTL and global cortical thickness, less temporal tau-tracer binding, less anxiety (all p<0.05; Figure 3B) and marginally to higher muscular capacity (p=.06). Only the association between anxiety measures and CAG was moderated by sex (Figure 3B). CAG was not related to genotype.

**Conclusion:** Our results suggest that successful cognitive aging is related to resistance against age-related pathology and higher brain integrity. Younger cognitive age is linked to better mental health, especially in females.

Figure 1A. Study Overview



B. Methods

Target	Modality	Method	Regions/ measure
Abeta42/40	Blood Plasma	Assay: Lumipulse G Fujirebio	Abeta <sub>1-42</sub> /Abeta <sub>1-40</sub>
Tau burden	PET- <sup>18</sup> F-PI2620 (60min-dynamic)	Multilinear Reference Tissue Model2, reference: inferior cerebellum	Distribution volume ratio (DVR) in temporal lobe ("Jack" –composite region)
White matter lesions	MRI-FLAIR	Segmentation via lesion segmentation toolbox (LPA algorithm)	Whole brain white matter hyperintensity volume (log-transformed)
Gray matter Thickness	MRI-T1 (0.8×0.8×0.8 mm <sup>3</sup> )	FreeSurfer segmentation	Anterior cingulate, medial temporal lobe (entorhinal, parahipp., fusiform), whole brain
Cardiovascular fitness	Fitness assessment	Spiroergometry- Maximal oxygen consumption (VO2max)	Aerobic capacity (VO2max)
Muscular capacity	Fitness assessment	Muscle strength by hand grip test Muscle quantity by Bioelectrical impedance analysis Physical performance by Timed-up-and-go test	Composite of hand grip strength, appendicular skeletal muscle mass, and walking performance
Mental health	Questionnaire	State-Trait Anxiety Inventory	Trait and State Anxiety
Genetic Polymorphism	Blood	Single nucleotide polymorphism: Klotho: rs9536314; KIBRA: rs17070145 APOE genotype	KLOTHO SNP(GT vs TT) KIBRA SNP (CT/TT vs CC) APOEε4 carrier vs non-carrier

**Figure 2. Differences in age-related pathology and brain structure between SuperAgers and Typical Agers**





Figure 3A. Cognitive Age Gap (CAG)

**Partial least square regression in 220 older adults**

**Tests (predictors):**  
Verbal learning & memory  
Symbol digit modalities  
Semantic fluency  
Rey Figure  
Free and cued selective reminding  
Logical memory

**Model: 5 components**



B. Cognitive Age Gap (CAG) related to brain thickness, tau measures and mental health

